ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT

Academic misconduct is behaviour that erodes the basis of mutual trust on which scholarly exchanges commonly rest, undermines the University’s exercise of its responsibility to evaluate students’ academic achievements, or restricts the University’s ability to accomplish its learning objectives.

The University takes a serious view of academic misconduct and will severely penalize students, faculty and staff who are found guilty of offences associated with misappropriation of others’ work, misrepresentation of personal performance and fraud, improper access to scholarly resources, and obstructing others in pursuit of their academic endeavors. In addition to this policy, the University has adopted a number of policies that govern such offences, including the Responsible Conduct of Research. See https://uoguelph.civicweb.net/Documents/DocumentList.aspx?ID=101475 and the Policy on Non-academic Misconduct. These policies will be strictly enforced. See Chapter I Statement of Students’ Academic Responsibilities for additional information.

It is the responsibility of the University, its faculty, students and staff to be aware of what constitutes academic misconduct and to do as much as possible through establishment and use of policies and preventive procedures to limit the likelihood of offences occurring. Furthermore, individual members of the University community have the specific responsibility of initiating appropriate action in all instances where academic misconduct is believed to have taken place. This responsibility includes reporting such offences when they occur and making one’s disapproval of such behaviour obvious.

University of Guelph-Humber students have the responsibility of abiding by the University’s policy on academic misconduct regardless of their location of study; faculty, staff and students have the responsibility of supporting an environment that discourages misconduct. Students should also be aware that if they find their academic performance affected by medical, psychological or compassionate circumstances, they should inform the appropriate individuals, faculties, Academic advisor and follow the available procedures for academic consideration outlined in this calendar.

Education and Remediation

Education and remediation are key to promoting an environment in which academic integrity will flourish. It should not be possible for a student to claim that they were not warned about the University’s academic misconduct regulations, what constitutes academic misconduct and the potential consequences of transgressing. The need to educate students about academic integrity places a particular responsibility on faculty, especially with respect to discipline-specific issues.

The University's Strategic Directions place high value on collaboration and co-operation in the learning process, across disciplines and between institutions. Further, the strategic plan recognizes the importance of students learning to work with others in group projects and situations as key to developing skills as self-reliant learners. This is reflected in the large number of courses at this University which involve group work and encourage co-operation in completing assignments. However, there may be need to limit the amount of collaboration or cooperation. Students need to be aware of, and faculties need to be clear about assignments for which discussing or completing the work with others is not appropriate and where the expectation is that students will work separately. Faculties should be very explicit about expectations with respect to academic integrity, and information with respect to academic misconduct should be presented to students as part of the course outline, academic program orientation materials and other materials posted and distributed to students. Students need to remain aware that faculties have access to and the right to use electronic and other means of detection.

In addition, in the case of examinations, students should be sure that they read and understand the regulations with respect to conduct in examinations printed on the cover of each examination booklet, and should pay particular attention to the instructions provided on the examination attendance sheet and any additional instructions from the examination invigilators.

In support of remediation, students convicted of an academic offence may be required to successfully complete an academic integrity remediation process.

Offences

Academic misconduct is broadly understood to mean offences against the academic integrity of the learning environment.

Below are descriptions of academic offences. It is important to note that, while the University has attempted to present as comprehensive a list as possible, this list of potential academic offences should not be considered exhaustive. Students are responsible for knowing what constitutes an academic offence and faculty members have a responsibility to provide students, early in their course or program, with information about academic integrity that might be particular to their discipline. An offence may be deemed to have been committed whether the student knew a particular action was an offence or ought reasonably to have known. Whether or not a student intended to commit academic misconduct is not relevant for a finding of guilt. Hurried or careless submission of assignments does not excuse students from responsibility for verifying the academic integrity of their work before submitting it. Students who are in any doubt as to whether an action on their part could be construed as an academic offence should consult with a faculty member or Program Head.

It is the responsibility of students working in a group to take all reasonable steps to ensure that work submitted to the group by individual members has not been completed in a way that violates this policy.

Further, as some academic offences may also be viewed as violations of policies on the Responsible Conduct of Research, the Policy on Non-Academic Misconduct, the criminal code and/or civil statutes, students may also be subject to procedures and penalties outlined in those policies at the University’s discretion, and to criminal prosecution or civil action.

A graduate of the University may be charged with an academic offence committed while they were a registered student when, in the opinion of the Vice-Provost, the offence, if detected, would have resulted in a sanction sufficiently severe that the degree would not have been granted at the time that it was.
1. Misappropriation of Other’s Work

Plagiarism

Plagiarism is misrepresenting the ideas, expression of ideas or work of others as one’s own. It includes reproducing or paraphrasing portions of someone else’s published or unpublished material, regardless of the source, and representing these as one’s own thinking by not acknowledging the appropriate source or by the failure to use appropriate quotation marks. In addition to books, articles, papers and other written works, material may include (but is not limited to): literary compositions and phrases, performance compositions, chemical compounds, art works, laboratory reports, research results, calculations and the results of calculations, diagrams, constructions, computer reports, computer code/software, and material on the internet. Some examples of plagiarism include:

- submission of a take-home examination, essay, laboratory report or other assignment written, in whole or in part, by someone else;
- using direct, verbatim quotations, paraphrased material, algorithms, formulae, scientific or mathematical concepts, or ideas without appropriate acknowledgment in any academic assignment;
- using another’s data or research findings;
- buying or selling term papers or assignments;
- submitting a computer program developed in whole or in part by someone else, with or without modifications, as one’s own;

Students have the responsibility to learn and use the conventions of documentation suitable to the discipline, and are encouraged to consult with the faculty of the course, or the Program Head for clarification if needed.

Facultys should include in the materials they provide to students about academic integrity, information about any unique, discipline-specific understandings with respect to what must be acknowledged or cited 1.  

In addition to being concerned about appropriate citation, students who wish to use the work of others, from any source, should be aware of copyright laws and other conventions governing intellectual property. See the Office of Research website, http://www.uoguelph.ca/research/, for links to the University’s intellectual property policies.

Copying

Copying is similar to plagiarism in that it involves the appropriation of others’ work as one’s own. It includes copying in whole or in part another’s test or examination answer(s), laboratory report, essay, or other assignment.

Copying also includes submitting the same work, research or assignment for credit on more than one occasion in two or more courses, or in the same course, without the prior written permission of the faculty(s) in all courses involved (including courses taken at other post-secondary institutions).

Unauthorized Co-operation or Collaboration

It is an offence to cooperate or collaborate in the completion of an academic assignment, in whole or in part, when the faculty has indicated that the assignment is to be completed on an individual basis.

2. Misrepresentation and Fraud

This category of offences covers a range of unacceptable activities, including the following:

Impersonation

Impersonation involves having someone impersonate oneself, either in person or electronically, in class, in an examination or in connection with any type of academic requirement, course assignment or material, or of availing oneself of the results of such impersonation. Both the impersonator and the individual impersonated (if aware of the impersonation) are subject to disciplinary proceedings under this policy.

Falsification

It is an offence to submit or present false or fraudulent assignments, research, credentials, or other documents for any academic purpose. This includes, but is not limited to:

- falsified research or lab results and data;
- concocting facts or reference;
- false medical or compassionate certificates;
- false letters of support or other letters of reference;
- falsified academic records, transcripts or other registrarial records;
- fraudulent submission practices (e.g., altering date stamps);
- altering graded work for re-submission.

It is also falsification to misrepresent the amount of work an individual has contributed to a group assignment or activity. Both the individual to whom work is falsely attributed and those who acquiesce in its attribution commit an academic offence.

Withholding

It is an offence to withhold records, transcripts or other academic documents with the intent to mislead or gain unfair academic advantage.

Unauthorized Aids and Assistance

It is an offence to use or possess an unauthorized aid, to use or obtain unauthorized assistance, or to use or obtain prohibited material in any academic examination or term test or in connection with any other form of academic work. Such aids or material may include, but are not limited to, specific documents, electronic equipment or devices, and commercial services (such as writing, editorial, software, or research survey services). Students should assume that any such aid is prohibited unless they are specifically advised otherwise by the faculty or invigilator. Note that unauthorized assistance does not include student support services offered by the University, such as the Learning Commons.

3. Improper Access and Obstruction

Preventing Access to Materials

It is an offence to alter, destroy, hide, remove without authorization, or in any other way improperly restrict access to library, electronic or other materials intended for general academic use.

Obstruction and Interference

It is an offence to obstruct or otherwise interfere with the scholarly activities of another, or to alter or falsify the work of others, in order to gain unfair academic advantage. This includes, but is not limited to, deleting data or files, interfering or tampering with experimental data, with a human or animal subject, with a written or other creation (for example, a report, a photographic assignment, a film), with a chemical used for research, or with any other object of study or research device.

Improper Access

It is an offence to improperly obtain through theft, bribery, collusion, or otherwise access to confidential information, examinations or test questions or to gain undue academic advantage as a result of such behaviour.
Improper Dissemination
It is an offence to publish, disseminate or otherwise make public to a third party without prior written consent, confidential information. Confidential information includes but is not limited to academic information, data or documents which are not otherwise publicly available and which have been gathered or held with a reasonable expectation of confidentiality.

4. Aiding and Abetting
Knowingingly aiding or abetting anyone in committing any form of academic misconduct is itself academic misconduct and subject to this policy.

Penalties

A. Range of Penalties That May be Assessed
If a student is found guilty of academic misconduct, an Official Warning will be given that an offence is now noted in the student's record and that a subsequent offence will attract a more severe penalty. In addition, one or more of the following penalties may be assessed:

1. A requirement for submission of a new or alternative piece of work.
2. The rescinding of University-funded scholarships or bursaries.
3. Partial or total loss of marks on the examination or assignment in which the offence occurred.
4. Partial or total loss of marks for the course in which the offence occurred.
5. Suspension from the University for a period of between one and six consecutive semesters. For the period of suspension, a student will not be permitted to register and will retain none of the privileges accorded to students with respect to right of access to University faculty, staff, facilities or services.
6. A recommendation for expulsion from the University.
7. A recommendation for revocation/rescinding of a degree. A person who is found guilty of academic misconduct after having been approved for graduation, or after having a degree conferred, may have the degree rescinded or revoked when, in the opinion of the Vice-Provost, the offence, if detected, would have resulted in a sanction sufficiently severe that the degree would not have been granted at the time that it was.

B. Notes with Respect to Penalties
The following should be noted with respect to penalties:

1. Senate has approved a set of Guidelines for the Assessment of Penalties for Academic Misconduct. These guidelines are used by Program Heads and the Vice-Provost to assist them in determining appropriate penalties for individual cases.
2. Students who have been found guilty of a course-based offence and who have been assessed a penalty in addition to an Official Warning will not be permitted to drop the course, apply the Credit/No Credit Grading Option to the course, receive credit (CRD) standing on the course or to withdraw with failure. A student who has dropped the course prior to the offence(s) being detected will have their enrolment in the course reinstated if found guilty and if the penalty assessed is other than an Official Warning.
3. Students who have been suspended for academic misconduct will not receive credit for any courses taken while under suspension. This policy applies to any credit course taken during the suspension period, be it distance, or on-campus, taken in open learning programs at the University of Guelph or at another post-secondary institution. In addition, in the case of graduate students, any research or writing completed during the suspension period may not be submitted in fulfillment of program requirements once the period of suspension is concluded.
4. A student who wishes to be considered for readmission after a suspension must make an application that will be judged on the basis of eligibility to continue. A student who is suspended for academic misconduct and also fails to meet the continuation of study requirement will normally be required to serve the associated penalties consecutively.
5. A student who has been expelled from the University of Guelph-Humber is not eligible for readmission to the University for at least five years. A student who wishes to be considered for readmission must petition the Vice-Provost to have the expulsion status removed. The Vice-Provost will form a hearing committee to review the case for lifting the admission restriction. If the committee decides to remove the expulsion status, the student who wishes to be considered for readmission must then make an application that will be judged on the basis of eligibility to continue. If the committee decides to leave the expulsion status in place, the student must wait at least another two years before submitting a new petition.
6. Penalties may be applied retroactively if an offence is discovered subsequent to completion of a course or after graduation.

Procedures

A. Notes Re: Procedures and Authority to Act
1. The Vice-Provost may delegate their authority under this policy to an appropriate designate(s). Such delegation may be full (for example, all cases are delegated to a Program Head), or partial (for example, authority with respect to offences related to course work may be delegated to a Program Head). The Vice-Provost must provide the University's Judicial Officer with the name(s) of individual(s) to whom authority has been delegated under this policy.
2. For offences related to course work (including examinations): The relevant Program Head in which the course is offered, and the Program Head in which the student is enrolled (if different) should receive a copy of the decision.
3. For offences not related to courses, or for course offences involving students not enrolled in the course, the Vice-Provost is responsible for administering the policy.
4. In the event that the Vice-Provost has a conflict of interest in dealing with a case, the Vice-Provost will appoint another faculty member to deal with the case. In the event that the Vice-Provost's designate has a conflict of interest in dealing with a case, the Vice-Provost may appoint an alternate designate.
5. Wherever in this policy it states that a student is to be contacted, the normal expectation is that such contact will be made using the student's University of Guelph-Humber e-mail account.

B. Detection and Documentation

Examinations
The responsibility for preventing and detecting academic misconduct in an examination lies with the faculty member responsible for the course and the examination invigilators, although they may make use of reports from others to assist them in detection. In cases of suspected impersonation, the faculty member shall require the student concerned to remain after the examination until the student is satisfactorily identified. In other cases of suspected academic misconduct, the faculty member shall allow the student to complete the examination, but:
• may require that the student complete the examination in another location or setting when it is deemed that such action will cause the least disruption of those taking the examination; and
• shall confiscate any suspect material (including those portions of the examination completed to that point), along with the student’s other examination booklet(s) (collected at the end of the exam).

The chief invigilator shall give a full report, together with any confiscated material, to the faculty-in-charge of the course if the faculty is not the chief invigilator.

Term Assignments, Including Research and Thesis Work
The initial responsibility for detecting academic misconduct on term assignments, etc., necessarily lies with the person(s) responsible for evaluation and discussion of the student’s work, although that person may make use of reports from others to assist in detection, and may make use of electronic means of detection appropriate to the discipline. Where academic misconduct is suspected, the evaluator/marker shall retain possession of any suspect material and give a full report in writing together with any confiscated material to the faculty-in-charge of the course, or to the student’s Program Head, if the faculty/advisor is not the evaluator/marker. At this stage, the student will be informed by the faculty/advisor that a suspicion of academic misconduct is being investigated.

Cases Outside the Domain of Examinations or Assignments
The responsibility for detecting academic misconduct in the context of an academic environment that is not part of the formal examination or assignment process rests with the entire University community. Where academic misconduct is suspected, but where it is unclear whether it is directly related to a specific course, or where the specific course is unknown, those with knowledge of an offence should contact the Program Head, for the program in which the student is enrolled. If the suspected offence appears to be related to a specific course, then the faculty of the course should be contacted.

C. Investigation and Judgment

Offences Related to Course Work, Research, Thesis Work or Examinations

a. When a faculty suspects that an academic offence has been committed, the faculty member are responsible for gathering evidence to support or allay the suspicion and may invite the student to meet with them to discuss the concerns. The faculty should pursue the gathering of evidence in a timely way. The normal expectation for assignments due within the semester is that faculty will complete their evidence gathering within ten working days of the due date for the assignment. For assignments submitted at the end of the semester or during the examination period, the faculty has until the tenth day of the subsequent semester to collect the evidence and determine whether to pursue a case. In a case where a faculty requires substantial additional time to collect and review the evidence, they may seek an extension of time from the Program Head.

b. If after reviewing the available evidence the faculty believes an offence may have been committed, they shall refer the case to the Program Head responsible for the course. The referral document will include all evidentiary material collected by the faculty along with the transmittal form on which the faculty may include a recommendation with respect to penalty should the allegation be upheld. A copy of the first page of the transmittal form shall be sent to the Office of the Vice-Provost by the Program Head.

c. If the Program Head believes that there is sufficient evidence to support a charge of academic misconduct, they will forward the transmittal form and all evidentiary material to the Vice-Provost, normally within ten working days of receipt of the allegation from the faculty.

d. Normally within ten working days of receipt of the case from the Program Head, the Vice-Provost will invite the student to meet with them to discuss the allegation(s). If the student does not respond within ten working days to the request for an interview, or if the student refuses to attend an interview, the Vice-Provost may proceed with a decision in the case. The student may be accompanied at the meeting by a support person. Prior to meeting with the student, the Vice-Provost may consult with any individuals the Program Head believes pertinent to the case. At the meeting, the student will be presented with the evidence collected by the faculty and Program Head to that point. Based on the student’s response to the evidence, the Vice-Provost may engage in further consultation with any individuals deemed pertinent to the case. The student will be informed of any other evidence gathered as a result of those consultations and be given an opportunity to respond prior to the Vice-Provost reaching a decision on the case.

e. If after weighing the available evidence the Vice-Provost finds an offence has been committed, the Vice-Provost will contact Registrarial Services as appropriate to determine whether this is a first offence.

f. In determining the appropriate penalty, the Vice-Provost will consult the Guidelines for Penalties for Academic Misconduct, will take into consideration the recommendation from the faculty and/or Program Head and consider such factors as the relative weight of the assignment, the semester level of the student, any record of previous offences, the seriousness of the offence (e.g. the amount of work plagiarized), and any mitigating circumstances presented by the student.

g. Normally within ten working days of the meeting with the student, or ten days from the date of the final communication with the student with respect to any additional evidence, the Vice-Provost will inform the student in writing of the disposition of the case. In a case where the Vice-Provost requires substantial additional time to review the evidence and come to a judgment, they may announce an extension of time for reaching the decision.

Should the Vice-Provost determine that an academic offence has not been committed the Vice-Provost shall so inform the student, the faculty and the Program Head, in writing. A copy of the letter will be forwarded to Academic Advisor and the Campus Registrar. Thereafter, the complaint shall have no official status as an accusation of academic misconduct and no record of the complaint shall be maintained on the student’s record. Should the Vice-Provost determine that an academic offence has been committed the Vice-Provost shall so inform the student, the faculty and the Program Head, in writing. A copy of the letter will be forwarded to Academic Advisor and the Campus Registrar. Thereafter, the complaint shall have no official status as an accusation of academic misconduct and no record of the complaint shall be maintained on the student’s record.

h. In a case where the Vice-Provost believes suspension or a recommendation for expulsion/revocation is warranted, the Vice-Provost should consult with the Vice-President’s Academic (U of G and Humber) before making a final determination with respect to penalty.
A statistical record will be kept by the Office of the Vice-Provost for annual reporting purposes.

Other Offences
a. Cases involving offences that are not course-related are dealt with by the Vice-Provost. Examples of such offences include, but are not limited to falsification of credentials for admission purposes, damaging of library materials, abetting the cheating of another in a course in which the abettor is not enrolled, and obstructing or interfering with the academic activities of others.
b. When a case is brought to the attention of the Vice-Provost, the Vice-Provost shall inform the student that an allegation has been made and invite the student to meet to discuss the allegation. The Vice-Provost will also inform the Campus Registrar (as appropriate). If the student does not respond within ten working days to the request for an interview or refuses to attend an interview, the Vice-Provost may proceed with a decision in the case. The student may be accompanied at the meeting by a support person. Prior to meeting with the student, the Vice-Provost may meet with any individuals or collect evidence as deemed pertinent to the case. At the meeting, the student will be presented with the evidence collected by the Vice-Provost to that point. Based on the student’s response to the evidence, if necessary the Vice-Provost may consult with any other individuals deemed pertinent to the case. The student will be informed of any other evidence gathered as a result of those consultations and be given an opportunity to respond prior to the Vice-Provost reaching a decision on the case.
c. If after weighing the available evidence the Vice-Provost finds that an offence has been committed, the Vice-Provost will contact Registrarial Services as appropriate to determine whether this is a first offence. The Vice-Provost may impose penalties in accordance with Penalties A. and B., above. In the event that the Vice-Provost believes suspension, expulsion or revocation to be warranted, the Vice-Provost proceed as in Procedure C.(h).
d. Normally within ten days of meeting with the student, or of the final communication with the student with respect to evidence, the Vice Provost shall inform the student in writing of the decision in the case, and copy the letter to the relevant university officials, including Undergraduate Program Services/Graduate Program Services (as appropriate). In a case where the Vice Provost requires substantial additional time to gather evidence and make a judgment, the Vice Provost may seek an extension from the Provost and Vice-President Academic.

The Informal Academic Appeal Process
A student who has concerns regarding an academic decision should first discuss the matter directly with the responsible faculty member or other decision-maker who shall provide the student with the reason(s) for the decision.

If resolution cannot be reached at the faculty/decision-maker level, the student should see the responsible Program Head to mediate the concern. If the Program Head mediation is unsuccessful, the student may resort to a Formal Appeal.

Throughout the informal appeal process, the student shall provide any and all documentation (e.g. medical reports) that may be relevant to the resolution of the concern. The Academic Advisor and the Campus Registrar, shall be advised, in writing (e.g. official grade re-assessment), of any changes relating to the student’s record that may occur as the result of an informal appeal process.

An informal appeal must be launched no later than ten (10) working days after the end of the term within which the course was offered, or, in the case of an academic misconduct decision, after the date at which the student is informed of the decision.

The informal appeal process is to be completed within ten (10) working days of its initiation by the student, and it is incumbent upon both parties to make every effort to meet that deadline. The results (and reasons why) of any and all levels of an academic appeal must be documented to the student and kept on file by the Campus Registrar.

If the student considers that the informal appeal process has not satisfactorily resolved the academic concern, the student may move to the formal appeal panel process.

Note: A student can request their Academic Advisor to work with them during the informal academic appeal process. The Academic Advisor can provide guidance and advice to the student, in an effort to mediate with the appropriate faculty member unit. and/or academic

The Formal Appeal
If an academic concern is not satisfactorily resolved during the informal appeal process, the Student may file a formal appeal with the Campus Registrar. All formal appeals must be filed within ten (10) working days of the conclusion of an informal appeal process. Normally, appeals submitted beyond the 10 working-day deadline will not be accepted.

1. Formal appeals must be in writing, stating all grounds for the appeal and the resolution being sought, and must be submitted on the University of Guelph-Humber Application for an Academic Appeal Hearing Form, to the Campus Registrar. At the time the appeal is filed, the student shall submit all supporting documentation to the Campus Registrar, for distribution to the Appeal Panel and the appropriate academic unit(s) along with the name(s) of individual(s) accompanying the student.

Upon receipt of all of the above documents, the academic unit (led by the appropriate Program Head) shall have five (5) working days to submit all documentation they will be presenting at the Formal Appeal Hearing. The Campus Registrar shall distribute these documents to the Student and the Appeal Panel. The Student shall have five (5) working days to review the submissions of the academic unit prior to the Hearing. Extensions to these time frames may be granted by the assigned Chair of the Appeal Panel if extenuating circumstances can be shown. No new documentation from either the Student or the academic unit may be submitted on the day of the Appeal, unless otherwise directed by the assigned Chair of the Appeal Panel.

2. Timing and Notice of a Formal Appeal
Once a formal appeal is filed, the Campus Registrar shall schedule the hearing accordingly. In the event of an emergency, a formal hearing may be scheduled at a time not previously published. The student may withdraw a formal appeal prior to the scheduled hearing.

A formal appeal may be dismissed without a hearing of its substance on any of the following grounds:
• The student has previously submitted the same, or substantially the same complaint to the formal appeal process.
• The student has not followed the appropriate appeal-filing procedure.
The student has commenced civil or other litigation against the University of Guelph and/or Humber College regarding the issue in question.

In order to allow the student an opportunity for rebuttal, the decision to dismiss an appeal on any of the above-noted grounds can only be made by the Appeal Panel at the scheduled hearing.

1. Formal Appeal Panel:
   Each Appeal Panel shall be drawn from a group of University of Guelph-Humber faculty and student volunteers.
   An Appeal Panel consists of three individuals (one of whom acts as Chair) selected from programs other than the program in which the student is registered, and the academic unit in which the academic decision being appealed originated. The membership of each panel shall include two full-time faculty members (one from the University of Guelph and one from Humber College) and one full-time University of Guelph-Humber student.
   To ensure consistency of process, each Appeal Panel will be chaired by one of those selected individuals who has received additional training in the role and responsibilities of a Chair.

2. Academic Appeal Hearing Protocol:
   Prior to the Hearing, the appointed Chair of the Appeal Panel shall confirm the Hearing participants with the Campus Registrar. The Student may bring to the Appeal Hearing witnesses who can provide further information directly related to the Appeal. The Student may have an individual accompany them to the Hearing in a support role. This individual cannot actively participate in the Hearing. Notice of the intent to be so accompanied and the names of any witnesses to be present on the student's behalf should be provided at the time a formal appeal is filed.
   Individuals representing the academic unit may include the appropriate Program Head, but will always include any faculty member directly involved in the academic decision being appealed. If two or more formal appeals are submitted which involve the same or similar questions, the Chair may:
   a. combine the appeals or any part of them, with the consent of the parties;
   b. hear the appeals at the same time, with the consent of the parties;
   c. hear the appeals one immediately after the other; or
   d. stay one or more of the appeals until after the determination of one of them.

An Appeal Panel member having any prior knowledge of the student or of the nature and circumstances of the Appeal which, in the view of the Chair, would lead to an apprehension of bias must withdraw from the Panel. In the event that it is the Chair's knowledge which causes a possible apprehension of bias, the decision as to whether the Chair should withdraw shall be made by unanimous vote of the other two members of the Panel.

Academic Appeal hearings shall be conducted in closed session. Recording devices are not permitted to be used in connection with the Academic Appeal Process, including the hearing. Legal representation is not permitted on behalf of any party during the Academic Appeal Process, including the hearing. All information/matters, not otherwise publicly available, presented and/or discussed at an appeal hearing are deemed confidential.

Once the hearing is in session, all participants, other than witnesses, must remain until all information has been presented and the meeting has been formally adjourned and/or concluded. Witnesses called by either the student or the academic unit will be dismissed by the Chair of the Appeal Panel once they have presented their information and have been questioned by the other party to the Appeal, and by the Appeal Panel.

The decision of the Appeal Panel shall be by majority vote and is final and binding on all parties. The Student and/or academic unit(s) are precluded from seeking subsequent review of the appeal decision under any office or in accordance with any policy or procedure within the University of Guelph-Humber, Humber College, or University of Guelph.

One copy of all materials presented at the Academic Appeal Hearing shall be kept on file for a period of 7 years from the date of the hearing.

Record of Academic Misconduct

Registiral Services shall place in the student's file a record of all academic misconduct for which the student is penalized.

The record of academic misconduct shall be expunged from the student's file upon graduation. Students who do not graduate from the University of Guelph-Humber (or another university) may submit an application to the Senate Committee on Student Petitions to have the record expunged no sooner than five years after the date of last registration. Students who have graduated at another accredited university may submit verification of graduation to Registiral Services and request to have their record expunged. The record for expulsion is permanent, unless removed by petition to the President's.

Access to the record of academic misconduct will be limited to those involved in processing appeals and those involved in processing additional complaints against the student.

Guidelines for Penalties for Academic Misconduct

With the finding of academic misconduct, there is a mandatory penalty of Official Warning which will stay on the student's record until graduation. In addition, one or more other penalties may be assessed. Following are guidelines used by the Vice-Provost in determining the appropriate additional penalties. Users need to be aware that these are guidelines and that not all cases will fit neatly into the categories.

The guidelines below provide a range of penalties (minima and maxima) for the various offences identified in the Policy on Academic Misconduct as well as indicate what penalty is deemed to be the norm for the offence in the case of a first or second year student. It should be noted that subsequent offence means any subsequent offence, not only a subsequent offence in the same category.

For a course-based offence, the Vice-Provost may assign penalties up to and including loss of grades if the offence is a first offence. If there is a previous offence on the student's record, or if the Program Head believes a stronger penalty is merited, the case is forwarded to the Vice-Provost for penalty assessment.

In cases where the Vice-Provost is of the opinion that there is cause for a penalty different from those indicated in the guidelines (either higher or lower), they will review the penalty with the Vice-President Academic. The Vice-Provost will also consult in cases where the contemplated penalty is suspension or expulsion.
In a case where the Vice-Provost is of the opinion that the finding of guilt is not supported by the evidence, the Vice-Provost will review the case with the Program Head. If the Program Head and Vice-Provost are unable to reach an agreement on the case, the Vice-Provost will consult with the Vice President's Academic before making final determinations as to the finding of guilt and any penalty to be applied in the event that Vice-Provost upholds the finding of guilt.

In determining the appropriate penalty the Vice-Provost will take into consideration these guidelines, the recommendation from the faculty, the recommendation from the Program Head, and any other relevant factors such as the relative weight of the assignment, the semester level of the student, the seriousness or extent of the offence (e.g. the amount of work plagiarized), any record of previous offences, and any mitigating circumstances presented by the student.

**Guidelines for Penalties for Academic Misconduct in Addition to Official Warning**

**A. Misappropriation of Other’s Work**

In the tables below (N) indicates the normal expectation for penalty for a first or second year undergraduate, or first year graduate student.

### 1. Plagiarism
Misappropriation of Other’s Work - Plagiarism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offences</th>
<th>First Offence</th>
<th>Subsequent Offences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>(<em>N</em>) Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (<em>N</em>) Suspension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (<em>N</em>) Suspension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. Misrepresentation and Fraud**

### 1. Impersonation
Misrepresentation and Fraud - Impersonation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offences</th>
<th>First Offence</th>
<th>Subsequent Offences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>(<em>N</em>) Zero on the assignment; Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; Suspension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (<em>N</em>) Suspension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Falsification
In addition to any penalty that may be applied, if a document is discovered to have been falsified, the document is null and void and the action permitted by the document is reversed.

If the falsified document is course-related (e.g. medical note) a zero in the course is the normal expectation for penalty for a first offence.

### 3. Withholding of Documents
If the withheld information would have affected admission to a course then a zero in the course is the normal expectation for penalty for a minor subsequent offence.

### 2. Copying
Misappropriation of Other’s Work - Copying

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offences</th>
<th>First Offence</th>
<th>Subsequent Offences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Resubmission of new work; (<em>N</em>) Loss of grades Zero on the assignment</td>
<td>Loss of grades; (<em>N</em>) Zero on the assignment; Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; Suspension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>(<em>N</em>) Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (<em>N</em>) Suspension</td>
<td>Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (<em>N</em>) Suspension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Unauthorized Collaboration
Misappropriation of Other’s Work - Unauthorized Collaboration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offences</th>
<th>First Offence</th>
<th>Subsequent Offences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Resubmission of work; (<em>N</em>) Loss of grades Zero on the assignment</td>
<td>Loss of grades; (<em>N</em>) Zero on the assignment; Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; Suspension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; <em>N</em>) Suspension</td>
<td>Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; <em>N</em>) Suspension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Unauthorized Aids
Misrepresentation and Fraud - Unauthorized Aids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offences</th>
<th>First Offence</th>
<th>Subsequent Offences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor and Major</td>
<td>Resubmission of work; Loss of grades; Zero on the assignment/exam</td>
<td>Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (N) Suspension;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N) Zero on the assignment/exam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Expulsion/Revocation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Improper Access and Obstruction
These offences may also be subject to penalty under the Policy on Non-Academic Misconduct.

1. Preventing Access
Improper Access and Obstruction - Preventing Access

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offences</th>
<th>First Offence</th>
<th>Subsequent Offences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>(N) Official warning; Resubmission of work; Loss of grades; Zero on the assignment</td>
<td>(N) Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (N) Suspension;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>(N) Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; Suspension</td>
<td>Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (N) Suspension;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Expulsion/Revocation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Obstruction and Interference
Improper Access and Obstruction - Obstruction and Interference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offences</th>
<th>First Offence</th>
<th>Subsequent Offences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor and Major</td>
<td>Loss of grades; Zero on the assignment; Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (N) Suspension</td>
<td>Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (N) Suspension;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Expulsion/Revocation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Improper Access
Improper Access and Obstruction - Improper Access

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offences</th>
<th>First Offence</th>
<th>Subsequent Offences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor and Major</td>
<td>Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (N) Suspension</td>
<td>Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (N) Suspension;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expulsion/Revocation</td>
<td>Expulsion/Revocation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Improper Dissemination
Improper Access and Obstruction - Improper Dissemination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offences</th>
<th>First Offence</th>
<th>Subsequent Offences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor and Major</td>
<td>Zero in the course (if applicable); (N) Suspension</td>
<td>Zero in the course; Loss of scholarship/bursary; (N) Suspension;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expulsion/Revocation</td>
<td>Expulsion/Revocation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>